

2N-mode Squeezing Operator Gained by the Entangled State Representation

Gang Ren · Hongyi Fan

Received: 26 June 2009 / Accepted: 23 August 2009 / Published online: 28 January 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

Abstract We discuss the explicit form of the 2N-mode squeezing operator via N-pair entangled state. We also present the squeezing properties of the 2N-mode squeezed state and obtain the variances of the 2N-mode quadrature operators in 2N-mode entangled state.

Keywords 2N-mode squeezed state · Entangled state representation

1 Introduction

In recent years the entanglement has been paid much attention because their uses in quantum optics [1–3]. It was first pointed out by Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen (EPR [4] in their famous paper arguing the incompleteness of quantum mechanics. EPR introduced the wave function for two particles' relative position $Q_1 - Q_2$ (with center of mass coordinate Q_0) and their total momentum $P_1 + P_2$ (with eigenvalue P_0),

$$\Psi(Q_1, Q_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp \exp[ip(Q_1 - Q_2 + Q_0)], \quad (1)$$

which describes a sharply correlated two-particle system. For $[Q_1 - Q_2, P_1 + P_2] = 0$, in [5, 6] and [7, 8], the simultaneous eigenstate $|\eta\rangle$ is found in two-mode Fock space,

$$|\eta\rangle = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}|\eta|^2 + \eta a_1^\dagger - \eta^* a_2^\dagger + a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger\right]|00\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_1 + i\eta_2)$ is a complex number, $|00\rangle$ is the two-mode vacuum state, (a_i, a_i^\dagger) , $i = 1, 2$ are two-mode Bose annihilation and creation operators in Fock space, re-

G. Ren (✉) · H. Fan
Department of Material Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China
e-mail: renfeiyu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

lated to (Q_i, P_i) by

$$Q_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_i + a_i^\dagger), \quad P_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(a_i - a_i^\dagger). \tag{3}$$

Based on the entangled state $|\eta\rangle$, we defined the two-mode squeezing operator as [9]

$$S_2 \equiv \int \frac{d^2\eta}{\mu\pi} \left| \frac{\eta}{\mu} \right\rangle \langle \eta|, \tag{4}$$

where $\mu = e^\lambda$ is a squeezing parameter.

With the help of the technique of integration within an ordered product (IWOP) of operators and using (4), we obtain [10–12]

$$S_2 = \exp[\lambda(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger - a_1 a_2)]. \tag{5}$$

For two-mode squeezing operator S_2 has been found, an interesting question thus naturally arises: Is there 2N-mode squeezing operator which squeezes the N-pair of entangled state? If yes, what is the corresponding explicit form of the 2N-mode squeezing operator?

In this paper, we shall answer these questions. The passage is arranged as follows: In Sect. 2, we discuss the explicit form of the 2N-mode squeezing operator. In Sect. 3, we present the squeezing properties of the 2N-mode squeezed state and an example is also given to prove our conclusion. In Sect. 4, the quantum mapping of $U(\Lambda)$ is given. We hope this new 2N-mode squeezing operator may have applications in quantum optical.

2 The Explicit Form of 2N-Mode Squeezing Operator

In this section, we construct a 2N-mode squeezing operator in the entangled state representation. To realize our goal, we introduce the N-pair entangled state

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta\rangle &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_n \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \equiv |\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n\rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{\eta^* \tilde{\eta}}{2} + \mathbf{A}^\dagger \tilde{\eta} - \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\eta}^* + \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\dagger \right\} |\mathbf{00}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\eta_1 = \eta_{1r} + i\eta_{1c}$, $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = (a_1^\dagger, a_3^\dagger, \dots, a_{2n-1}^\dagger)$, $\mathbf{B}^\dagger = (a_2^\dagger, a_4^\dagger, \dots, a_{2n}^\dagger)$,

$$\tilde{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and $|\mathbf{00}\rangle$ is a 2N-mode vacuum state.

Then we defined 2N-mode squeezing operator as

$$U(\Lambda) = (\det \Lambda)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^{2n}\eta}{\pi^n} |\Lambda\eta\rangle \langle \eta|, \tag{7}$$

where Λ is a $N \times N$ real matrix.

It is easy to see

$$U^\dagger(\Lambda)U(\Lambda) = \mathbf{1}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$U^\dagger(\Lambda)Q_iU(\Lambda) = \det \Lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^{2n}\eta}{\pi^n} \langle \Lambda \eta | \eta \rangle \eta_i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^{2n}\eta}{\pi^n} | \Lambda \eta \rangle \langle \eta | = \Lambda_{ij} Q_j. \tag{9}$$

Now using the IWOP technique, we put (6) into (7) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^{2n}\eta}{\pi^n} (\det \Lambda)^{1/2} | \Lambda \eta \rangle \langle \eta | \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^{2n}\eta}{\pi^n} (\det \Lambda)^{1/2} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \eta^* (\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda) \tilde{\eta} + (\tilde{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^\dagger - \mathbf{B}) \tilde{\eta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\tilde{\Lambda} \mathbf{B}^\dagger - \mathbf{A}) \tilde{\eta}^* + \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\dagger + \mathbf{B} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{a}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \right\} \cdot. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^\dagger = (a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger, \dots, a_{2n}^\dagger)$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$.

Using the mathematical formula [13]

$$\int \frac{d^{2n}\alpha}{\pi^n} \exp[-\alpha^* \mathbf{N} \tilde{\alpha} + \mathbf{S} \tilde{\alpha} + \mathbf{R} \tilde{\alpha}^*] = [\det \mathbf{N}]^{-1} \exp[\mathbf{S} \mathbf{N}^{-1} \mathbf{R}], \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha^* = (\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \dots, \alpha_n^*)$, and \mathbf{N} is a $n \times n$ matrix, \mathbf{S} , \mathbf{R} are n column matrices, we perform the integral in (10) and have the explicit form of $U(\Lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Lambda) &= \frac{(\det \Lambda)^{1/2}}{\det[\frac{(\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)}{2}]} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^\dagger - \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)^{-1} (\tilde{\Lambda} \mathbf{B}^\dagger - \mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\dagger + \mathbf{B} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{a}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

3 The Squeezing Properties of the 2N-mode Squeezing Operator

Theoretically, the 2N-mode squeezed state is constructed by the 2N-mode squeezing operator acting on the 2N-mode vacuum state, it follows

$$U(\Lambda)|\mathbf{00}\rangle = \frac{(\det \Lambda)^{1/2}}{\det[\frac{(\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)}{2}]} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^\dagger \tilde{\Lambda} (\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\Lambda} \right] |\mathbf{00}\rangle \equiv |\mathbf{00}\rangle. \tag{13}$$

For an 2N-mode system, the optical quadrature phase amplitudes can be expressed as follows:

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} Q_i, \quad X_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} P_i, \quad [X_1, X_2] = \frac{i}{2}, \tag{14}$$

the variances of the 2N-mode quadrature operators introduced as

$$(\Delta X_i)^2 = \langle \mathbf{00} | X_i^2 | \mathbf{00} \rangle - (\langle \mathbf{00} | X_i | \mathbf{00} \rangle)^2, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{15}$$

From (13), we have

$$\langle \mathbf{00} \| X_1 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \frac{(\det \Lambda)}{[\det(\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)]^2} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times \langle \mathbf{00} | \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^\dagger \tilde{\Lambda} (\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\Lambda} \right] \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (a_i + a_i^\dagger) \\ &\times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^\dagger \tilde{\Lambda} (\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\dagger \tilde{\Lambda} \right] | \mathbf{00} \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Using the generalized ‘rotation’ operator formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(a_i^\dagger \Omega_{ij} a_j) a_i^\dagger \exp(-a_i^\dagger \Omega_{ij} a_j) &= a_i^\dagger (e^{\Omega})_{il}, \\ \exp(a_i^\dagger \Omega_{ij} a_j) a_j \exp(-a_i^\dagger \Omega_{ij} a_j) &= (e^{-\Omega})_{lj} a_j, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where Ω is an 2×2 invertible matrix, and Einstein’s summation rule has been used, i.e. for the repeated subscripts appearing in a term, summation over 1, 2 is implied, and the identity

$$\exp(a_i^\dagger \Omega_{ij} a_j) = : \exp[a_i^\dagger (e^{\Omega} - I) a_j] :. \tag{19}$$

From (18), (19) can be turned into

$$\langle \mathbf{00} \| X_1 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{00} \| \sum_{ji} [a_i^\dagger \exp(\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)_{ij} + \exp(-\mathbf{1} - \tilde{\Lambda} \Lambda)_{ji} a_i] \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = 0. \tag{20}$$

The same we can get the following relations

$$\langle \mathbf{00} \| X_2 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = 0. \tag{21}$$

Then the variances of the 2N-mode quadrature operators in 2N-mode entangled state is

$$(\Delta X_1)^2 = \langle \mathbf{00} \| X_1^2 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = \frac{1}{4n} \langle \mathbf{00} \| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} Q_i \right)^2 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{ji} \left(\frac{\Lambda \tilde{\Lambda}}{2} \right)_{ji} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-2\lambda}, \tag{22}$$

and

$$(\Delta X_2)^2 = \langle \mathbf{00} \| X_2^2 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = \frac{1}{4n} \langle \mathbf{00} \| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} P_i \right)^2 \| \mathbf{00} \rangle = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{ji} \left(\frac{\Lambda \tilde{\Lambda}}{2} \right)_{ji}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} e^{2\lambda}. \tag{23}$$

This leads to $\Delta X_1 \cdot \Delta X_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, which shows that $U(\Lambda)$ is a correct N-mode squeezing operator for the N-mode quadratures in (14) and produces the standard squeezing similar to (4).

As discussed above, we clearly see that this approach of getting the explicit form of 2N-mode squeezing operator is general. We also point out the squeezing properties of the 2N-mode squeezing operator by the 2N-mode squeezing operator acting on the 2N-mode vacuum state.

Specially, when $n = 2$, (7) reduces to

$$U(\Lambda_2) = \int \frac{d^2 \eta_1 d^2 \eta_2}{\pi^2} \left| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|, \tag{24}$$

where A, B, C and D are 2×2 complex matrices.

If we let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, & B &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nu \\ -\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ C &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nu \\ -\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & D &= \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where μ, ν, σ and τ are complex numbers, and satisfy the condition

$$\mu\tau - \sigma\nu = 1, \tag{26}$$

then from (12), (24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Lambda_2) &= (\mu\tau)^{-1} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{\nu}{\mu} a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger - \frac{\sigma}{\tau} a_2^\dagger a_4^\dagger + \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - 1 \right) (a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_3^\dagger a_3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - 1 \right) (a_2^\dagger a_2 + a_4^\dagger a_4) + \frac{\sigma}{\mu} a_1 a_3 + \frac{\nu}{\tau} a_2 a_4 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

When we let $\mu = \tau = \cosh \theta, \nu = \sigma = \sinh \theta$, (27) becomes

$$U(\Lambda_2) = \exp[\theta(a_1 a_3 - a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger)] \exp[\theta(a_2 a_4 - a_2^\dagger a_4^\dagger)]. \tag{28}$$

From (28), we can see $U(\Lambda_2)$ is just a product of two two-mode squeezing operators in (13).

4 The Quantum Mapping of $U(\Lambda)$

In the two-mode, the squeezing operator can be expressed as [7, 8]

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{\mu} \int \frac{d^2 \eta}{\pi} \left| \frac{\eta}{\mu} \right\rangle \langle \eta | = \exp[\lambda(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger - a_1 a_2)]. \tag{29}$$

As we know Q_i and P_i are the coordinate and momentum operators related to the Bose operators (a_i, a_i^\dagger) by

$$Q_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_i + a_i^\dagger), \quad P_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(a_i - a_i^\dagger), \tag{30}$$

(7) can be changed into

$$U(\Lambda) = \exp[i\lambda QAP], \tag{31}$$

where

$$Q = (Q_1 \quad Q_2 \quad \cdots \quad Q_{2n}), \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{2n \times 2n}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \vdots \\ P_{2n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

In order to know the transformation caused by $U(\Lambda)$, we need to derive the normal ordering form of the unitary operator $U(\Lambda)$ by virtue of the IWOP technique. Using the Baker-Hausdorff formula

$$e^A B e^{-A} = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{3!}[A, [A, [A, B]]] + \cdots, \quad (33)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U^\dagger(\Lambda) Q_k U(\Lambda) &= Q_k - \lambda Q_i A_{ik} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} Q_i A_{il} A_{lk} - \cdots = Q_i (e^{-\lambda A})_{ik}; \\ U^\dagger(\Lambda) P_k U(\Lambda) &= P_k + \lambda A_{kj} P_j + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} A_{kl} A_{lm} P_m + \cdots = (e^{\lambda A})_{ki} P_i. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

So we can see $U(\Lambda)$ is the corresponding operator which leads to the classic transformation $Q_k \rightarrow Q_i (e^{-\lambda A})_{ik}$ and $P_k \rightarrow (e^{\lambda A})_{ki} P_i$.

5 Summary

In summary, we have generalized the concept of two-particle EPR entangled state to the case of $2N$ particle systems. With the help of IWOP technique, we construct the $2N$ -mode squeezing operator and the squeezing properties of it is also discussed. We also use this operator to discuss a special case which the two-pair entangled states are involved. When Λ is a special matrices, we find $U(\Lambda)$ can be a product of N two-mode squeezing operators. Its further applications in the study of multipartite teleportation, quantum dense coding and entangled fractional Fourier transformation are under consideration.

References

1. Fan, H., Hailiang, L.: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 10993 (2004)
2. Fan, H., Xubing, T.: J. Opt. B, Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **7**, 5765 (2005)
3. Nielsen, M.A., Chuang, I.L.: Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000)
4. Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., Rosen, N.: Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935)
5. Fan, H.: Phys. Lett. A **126**, 145 (1987)
6. Fan, H., Klauder, J.R.: Phys. Rev. A **49**, 704 (1994)
7. Fan, H., Bozhan, C.: Phys. Rev. A **50**, 3754 (1994)
8. Fan, H., Xiong, Y.: Phys. Rev. A **51**, 3343 (1995)
9. Fan, H., Yue, F.: Phys. Rev. A **54**, 958 (1996)
10. Buzek, V.: J. Mod. Opt. **37**, 303 (1990)
11. Loudon, R., Knight, P.L.: J. Mod. Opt. **34**, 709 (1987)
12. Dodonov, V.V.: J. Opt. B, Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **4**, R1 (2002)
13. Magnus, W., et al.: Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, 3rd edn. Springer, Berlin (1996)